

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/919,444	07/31/2001	Paul Dennis Stultz	M-11710 US	4212
7590 05/23/2006			EXAMINER	
David L. McC	ombs	PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J		
Haynes and Boo				
901 Main Street	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 3100		2137		
Dallas, TX 75	202-3789	DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	09/919,444		Reexamination STULTZ ET AL.					
			Art Unit					
	Emmanuel L. M	1oise	2137					
Document Code - AP.PRE.I	DEC							
Notice of Panel De				Review				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed May 5, 2006.								
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper	and a conferer	nce will not be held fo	r the following				
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . 								
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm								
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.								
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-12, 14-2 Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	4 and 26-32.	claim(s) is as fo	ollows:					
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.								
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.								
All participants:								
(1) Emmanuel L. Moise.		(3) <u>Joseph D</u>	<u>Dixon</u> .					
(2) Michael Pyzocha.		(4)						